

5. David Humphreys. New mercantilism: A perspective on how politics is shaping world metal supply [J]. *Resources Policy*, 2013 (38): 341-349.
6. Qin Yuanjian, He Nabo. Cause of formation and constitution of Chinese enterprise's overseas resource cooperation strategy risk. [J]. *Development and research*, 2009(4):116-119.
7. Zhang Shichao. The strategy and risk control research of non-energy mining industry overseas extensibility enterprise of China [D]. Changsha: Central South University, 2009.
8. Qi Ye. Research on overseas exploration project risk evaluation of China's petroleum enterprise [D]. Haerbin: Haerbin University of Science and Technology, 2014.
9. Liu Feng. Research on risk evaluation of site selection of overseas mineral resource development [D]. Changsha: Central South University, 2009.
10. Li Kuixing. Discussion on risk management of mining industry investment [J]. *China's mining industry*, 2009,18,(12):35-37.
11. Ren Jie. Overseas mineral resource project investment risk evaluation and real option research. [D]. China University of Geosciences (Beijing), 2014
12. Xie Lingling. Research on decision mechanism of public investment building project [D]. Chongqing: Chongqing University, 2005.
13. Tian Ze. Chinese enterprise overseas investment risk evaluation and research [J]. *Modern Economy Discussion*, 2013(11): 46-50.
14. Cheng Jinhua, Tong Sheng. Political risk analysis of transnational operation of Chinese petroleum enterprise. [J]. *China Soft Science*, 2006(4):24-32.
15. Shi Yuyou. Chinese enterprise overseas mineral resource merger and acquisition risk evaluation and control [J]. *Circulation economy of China*, 2012(9):61-66.



Numerical simulation and inflow prediction by the outflow test of coal mine

Shao Aijun, Li ZhiGuang, Wang ShiWen and Meng QingXin

*Shijiazhuang University of Economics,
Shijiazhuang -050031, China*

Corresponding author Shao Aijun

Abstract

This article, by using the east mining area outflow water test data of No. 5 coal mine in Fengfeng coal field, presents the numerical simulation of groundwater flow and the prediction of drainage. First of all, according to the hydrogeologic condition, the groundwater seepage numerical model of this mining area is established. Then, the

model is verified by using the drainage experiment data. The measured and simulated water levels of observation wells fit well during the model verification. Finally, the water inflow of the coal mine is forecasted using the established model. The result of the prediction has shown that it is feasible for the Daqing limestone aquifer to take measures of the water discharge method for the safety mining of Shanqing coal seam below -100m level.

Key words: FENGFENG COAL FIELD, DRAINAGE EXPERIMENT, MINE WATER INFLOW, NUMERICAL SIMULATION, WATER INRUSH COEFFICIENT

1. Introduction

Fengfeng coal field is located in the south of Hebei province. The eastern part of the field is the North China plain, and the western is Mount Tai-hang. It's the Drum Mountain which located in the center of the coal field that divided the whole field into two parts. The study area is in the center of the east Drum Mountain, and its landform presents to be hilly region.

There's no a natural river or large surface water in the mining field. The data gathered from Fengfeng weather station demonstrates that the annual mean precipitation is 566mm, and mainly concentrated in late summer (July to September).

2. Tydrogeological characteristics

2.1. The geological condition

The stratum of No. 5 mining field is classified as the North China type and it contains Ordovician (O), the Carboniferous (C), Permian (P) and Quaternary (Q) system. As the bedrock is mostly covered by the Quaternary system, the outcrop area becomes very small. The Ordovician is outcropped in the Drum Mountain located on the west of the mining field, and the field that buried under C-P stratum, which contains 7 minable coal beds and 5-8 layers of thin limestone, constitutes basement strata.

The whole structure of the field presents itself as a rift structure whose edge uplifts, whereas the center drops. The faulted structures are well developed and among which are mostly high-angle normal faults. The overall structure is ore anticline.

2.2. Hydrogeological characteristics

According to geological structures and hydrogeological features, the field has been classified into three hydrological areas, namely the northwest area, the central part and the east limb area which is the emphasis of this article.

At present, the aquifers that threaten the mining security are mainly Daqing limestone aquifer(The Carboniferous thin limestone)and the Ordovician limestone aquifer.

(1) The Daqing limestone aquifer: This aquifer is the direct roof of Daqing Coal Seam, which is 45m away from the upper Shanqing Coal Seam and 28m away from the O2 limestone below. The thickness is between 4 to 6m, and its distribution is sta-

ble. The fissure is well-developed with good water-abundance capacity. The discharge of a single hole down-well is 2~3 m³/min. Its hydro-chemical type is HCO₃•SO₄-Ca•Na, the degree of mineralization is 0.45~1.1g/L, and the pH value is 6.4~8.0. Ordovician Limestone water recharges the Daqing limestone aquifer with plutonic confined karst fracture water laterally. The water storage capacity of Daqing limestone is limited because of its small thickness. As the influence of mine drainage perennially, the aquifer would have been dewatered if there is no recharge from the Ordovician Limestone water. Therefore it is the Ordovician Limestone water that supplies mainly the Daqing limestone aquifer. What's more, its main drainage method is mine drainage.

(2) Ordovician limestone aquifer: Its thickness is 545m, The karstic fissure is also well-developed with good water-abundance capacity. The discharge of a single hole down-well is 1.5~4 m³/min. Its hydro-chemical type is HCO₃•SO₄-Ca•Mg, the degree of mineralization is 0.5g/L, and the pH value is 7.4~9.3. The karstic confined aquifer with strong water-abundance capacity constitutes a great threat to safety mining.

3. Drain test numerical simulation

3.1. The east area of Daqing limestone water drain test profile

The Eastern area is one of the primary regions of production. The further study of hydrogeological conditions is to provide foundation to ensure safety in mining Shanqing coal seam below -100m. It is high time to operate the Daqing limestone drainage test. The water discharge scouring time is from 1996.4.15 to 1996.4.23, its drainage stratum is located in the Daqing limestone aquifer, and its discharge is 1.2~1.6 m³/min. There are two drainage holes: Hole FD34, Hole FD22, six Daqing limestone observation holes, that is Hole FD16, FD21, FD23, FD20, FD32, FD33, and one Ordovician limestone observation hole: Hole FO8. After observing all the holes on April 13th to 15th, a table presented original water levels of the whole was listed. See table 1.

3.2. The east area hydrogeology conditions in the sketch

(1) The Boundary

Table 1. The water level of east wing area before the drainage

NO	FD16	FD22	FD33	FD20	FD23	FD34	FD21	FD32	F08
Water level (m)	+106	+108	+66	+112	+110.5	+103	+92.5	-31.6	+114

East limb area is located in the eastern part of the mining field, east to F12 fault, west to F11 fault, and these two faults intersects in the north and the south boundary of the field, which makes the area on the plane just like a date stone. It covers an area of 1.2 km².

(2) The Hydrodynamic Conditions

Before drainage tests, the water level in the eastern part that closes to F12 fault is the lowest (see figure 1). After drainage test, a depression cone is formed whose center is Hole FD34. The core is expanded along the north and south direction-the south extension is further-and is consistent with the major axis direction of the East Limb area, however the water level which is near to F12 fault is still low (see figure 2). The drawdown formed from the drainage test is between 20m to 80m from south to north. The maximum drawdown reaches 83m in FD34 plughole. Every water level of observation holes will soon become normal after stopping the drainage, and it will close to the former water level.

(3) The Aquifer

The discharge of a single well down-well in the east area of Daqing limestone is 0.1~0.3 m³/min, the maximum is 2.8 m³/min(FD34). The fissure is well-developed in this area which weakens water-resisting property of the confining bed below, and there is Ordovician limestone with high pressure under the layer. Therefore the condition is satisfied that the Ordovician limestone aquifer leakage up recharge Daqing one. It is the Ordovician Limestone water that supplies mainly the Daqing limestone aquifer. After drainage test, put the fluorescent yellow 3kg into Hole FO8, then the FD34, FD16 hole has received one after another, which also proved the former point. Therefore, the Daqing limestone aquifer in east limb area can be generalized as the heterogeneous 2D confined water flow system model (figure 3).

3.3. The mathematical model

Daqing limestone aquifer hydrogeological model can be described available as the following mathematical model:




Figure 1. Eastern area before outflow test flow field figure




Figure 2. The eastern area after outflow test flow field figure




Figure 3. The sketch map of hydrogeological model

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(T \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(T \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}) + K_1 \frac{H_1 - H}{M_1} + Q = S \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \\ H(x, y, t)|_{t=0} = H_0(x, y) \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, t = 0 \\ T \frac{\partial H}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_2} = q(x, y, t) \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma_2, t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Notes:

- H – Da-qing limestone aquifer water level (m);
- H1 – Ordovician limestone aquifer water level (m);
- H0 – Da-qing limestone aquifer initial water level (m);
- t – Time (d);
- T – Da-qing limestone aquifer hydraulic conductivity coefficient (m²/d);
- S – Da-qing limestone aquifer storage water coefficient (non-dimensional);
- K1 – The leaky layer permeability coefficient (m/d);
- M1 – The leaky layer thickness (m);
- Q – The source sink term (m³/d);
- q – The boundary single wide flow rate (m³/d), Impervious boundary is zero;
- Ω – The Seepage area ;
- G2 – The second boundary of the seepage area;

N – The point (x, y) outside normal direction on the boundary of G2.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j C_{ij}(H_j^{k+1} - H_i^{k+1}) + D_i(H_{li}^{k+1} - H_i^{k+1}) + Q(i) \\ & = F_i \frac{H_i^{k+1} - H_i^k}{\Delta t} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Notes:

- Cij – The lateral water coefficient;
- Di – The potential coefficient of water;
- Q(i) – The vertical water;
- Fi – The Storage capacity of the coefficient of water;
- i, j – The node number (I, j = 1, 2, ..., n, n for node number);
- H1i – The node I on Ordovician limestone level;
- Hi – The Node I that the Da-qing limestone water level;
- t – The Time step;
- k – The Calculation time (k = 1, 2, ..., m, m for time number).

If use X says unknown water level H column vector, using A said equations of coefficient matrix, b said constant term array, the above numerical equations can be written as A matrix form:

$$AX = b$$

Coefficient matrix A is one who has A diagonal advantage height sparse symmetric positive definite matrix, for large sparse matrix using iteration method is appropriate. Based on the successive over relaxation (SOR) iterative method to solve.

3.4. Model identification and debugging

East limb area split results: triangle unit number is 142 (Numbers 2, 3,, 143), 87 points (Numbers 2, 3,, 88), including 30 boundary knot points(for kind boundary node) (see figure 4). Pumping well




Figure 4. Eastern subdivision graph

node is 2, select fitting of Daqing limestone aquifer observation hole is 4.

With the east limb area of Daqing limestone water drain test data for model identification, simulation time of 11500 minutes(1996.4.15-1996.4.23) can be divided into a total 43 periods ($n t = 43$). Time step $\Delta t = 10-500$ minutes, getting step length, drain initial water level drawdown fast, use small $t = 10$ minutes, and then gradually increase step length, relatively step length $t = 500$ minutes. The initial water level is the measured level before drainage test (see figure 5). The determination of initial parameters, according to drainage test data and water recovery data with groundwater dynamics and formula given, the initial value can be calculated by using water level drawdown curve and water recovery curve.

By constantly adjusting parameter, to make simulation of water level dynamic curve and measured water level dynamic curve achieve better fitting. The water level simulated at 11500 minutes see figure 5, the four observation holes fitting curve see figure 6.

After model identification, east wing area of Daqing limestone aquifer parameters is divided into three areas (see figure 7), the size of the district parameters see table 2.

Table 2. Prediction of dewater for different levels of east area

Partition	1	2	3
Transmissibility coefficient T (m ² /d)	70	60	30
storage coefficient(S)	0.00002	0.00003	0.00001
Leakage coefficient (K ₁ /M ₁)	0.00004	0.00004	0.000004

The simulation shows that it is the Ordovician Limestone water that supplies mainly the Daqing limestone aquifer. Though the discharge is small, it is quite big the drawdown of the observation holes in Daqing limestone area. The maximum drawdown of Hole FD34 is 83m. When the water level recovery, each observation well water level recovery quickly each observation well water level have been returned




Figure 5. Simulation of water level




Figure 6. The east area of Daqing limestone aquifer water level dynamic curves fitting




Figure 7. Eastern Daqing limestone aquifer parameter partition

to normal after 24 hours. These characteristics show that the East Limb District, Daqing limestone storage water, recharge water flowing fractured but fissures connectivity good, easy unwatering. Experienced a substantial decline in the water level and the rising process model identification is very important, the use of such a large change can be better test of the model. Coverage of the observation wells used to validate the model is relatively large, both in southern and northern, and the the observations the hole calculated and measured water level changes in the process of fitting better. So the model is correct, the numerical method is feasible to achieve a numerical simulation of the effect, you can take advantage of the model hydrogeological aspects forecast.

3.5. The 100 m below the level of mountain green coal hydrophobic mining precipitation forecast

Because the water level of Daqing limestone aquifer is high and water head pressure is big, to ensure the safety of coal mining -100m below the level of Shanqing, Daqing limestone aquifer beneath implementation of the hydrophobic buck. Forecast range: -100~170m Shanqing seam contour delineation of the range (see Figure 7 in thick lines delineated range). Impermeable layer thickness determination of borehole data: East Wing area, Shanqing stratum rock roof of the coal seam floor surface spacing of 34-50m, the average thickness of 40m.

Security head of calculation formula for the coefficient of water bursting:

$$c = \frac{P}{M} \quad (3)$$

Notes:

P – water pressure under the floor (Pa);

M – Water-resisting layer thickness (m);

c – The allowed water pressure of every meters water-resisting layer (Pa/m).

For the reservoir of Daniudi gas field, pore types are mainly intergranular pores and intragranular dissolved pores. The reservoir rock volume models, and acoustic and density log response equations had been established based on the actual situation. The porosity was obtained by solving the equations and also corrected for muddy, residual oil and gas. The porosity was calibrated by core porosity, and makes it more accurately.

$$P_l = M_s \times c \quad (4)$$

Notes:

Pl – The safety head pressure in theory (Pa);

Ms – The actual water-resisting layer thickness (m).

First prediction of water inrush coefficient formula to calculate the theoretical safety head pressure = 2.4Mpa, and then converted to the security level of the different levels of exploitation. This article take $c=0.06$ stope safety water pressure calculation. -120m level of safety of the water level by calculating 80m, it is necessary to guarantee the safety of coal -120m level Shanqing stoping, Daqing water level must not exceed 80m, otherwise it will lead to the occurrence of water inrush -150m level security water level is 50m—170m level of safety of the water level of 30m.

Now predict from the following three levels: ①-120 m ②-150m ③-170m level. From the east wing area of Daqing limestone aquifer, the single span water inflow is generally 0.1~0.3 m³min, the maximum can be 2.8 m³min. So each node to predict the water general set to 0.5~1.5 m³min, hydrophobic decompression hole position arrangement in the near FD34 hole. Different levels hydrophobic precipitation forecast can be 2~5 m³min(see table 3).

4. Conclusions

From the prediction of drainage test to numerical simulation, it shows that Daqing limestone aquifer in the area of east wing have the characteristics of high

Table 3. Prediction of dewater for different levels of east area

Level (m)	-120	-150	-170
Security level (m)	80	50	30
Flow rate (m ³ /min)	2-3	3-4	4-5
Dewatering time (h)	12-13	16-24	16-24

water pressure, few water volume, easy to discharge. As long as appropriate measures, getting prepared to prevent Ordovician limestone water irruptive work. It is feasible for the Daqing limestone aquifer to take measures of the water discharge method for the safety mining of Shanqing coal seam below -100m level

Acknowledgement

Li ZhiGuang, 1978.10, The Han nationality, Associate professor of Shijiazhuang University of Economics, Mainly engaged in engineering geology, environmental geology research work.

The National Natural Science Foundation of China: Study on The Relationship between Urban Architecture Planning and Land Subsidence in North China by Model Simulation Experiment: Taking Cangzhou as Example (Grant No.41301015)

References

1. Fang Peixian. "The special hydrological geology", *Beijing: geological publishing house*, 1996.
2. Huyakorn, P. S., Jones, B. G., and P. F. "Andersen, Finite-element algorithm for simulating three-dimensional groundwater flow and solute transport in multilayer systems", *Water Resour. Res.*, 22(3), 361-374, 1986.
3. Haverkamp, R. et al., "A comparison of numerical simulation models for one-dimensional infiltration", *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 41(2), 285-294, 1977.
4. Li Qingyang, Wang Nengchao, Yi Dayi. "Numerical analysis". *Wuhan: central China university of science and technology press*, 1991.
5. Liu Tangsheng Shao Aijun. "Peak of lower coal ore with pressure mining feasibility and suggest". *Beijing geological*, 2001 (1) : 16 to 20.
6. Ma Peizhi. "North China type coal field lower coal mining with pressure water inrush discriminant model and control countermeasures of water". *Journal of coal*, 2005, 30 (5) : 608-612.
7. Narashimhan, T. N., and P. A. Witherspoon, "An integrated finite difference method for analyzing fluid flow in porous media", *Water Resour. Res.*, 12(1), 1976.
8. Shao Aijun, Chen Yuxia, Yang Jianxun, etc. "Inner Mongolia wuhai thermal power plant water source of groundwater resources evaluation". *Journal of natural resources*, 2008, (1) : 127-135.
9. Shao Aijun, Liu Tangsheng, Shao Taisheng, etc. "Coal mine groundwater and floor water inrush". *Beijing: seismological press*, 2001.
10. Shao Aijun, Peng Jianping, Chen Huachen, etc. "LuanCheng numerical simulation of groundwater in Hebei province". *China's rural water conservancy and hydropower*, 2004, 14:51-56.
11. Shao Aijun, Zhang Fawang, Shao Taisheng, etc. "Coal mine groundwater [M]. *Beijing: geological publishing house*, 2005.
12. Li Junting. "Groundwater flow numerical simulation". *Beijing: geological publishing house*, 1989.
13. Trescott, P. C., and S. P. Larson, "Comparison of interactive methods of solving two-dimensional groundwater flow equations", *Water Resour. Res.*, 13(1), 125-136, 1977.
14. Xue Yuqun, Xie Chungong. "Groundwater numerical simulation". *Beijing: science press*, 2007.

Metallurgical and Mining Industry

www.metaljournal.com.ua